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#### Abstract

Rational Method is worked out for count of spillover age in the investigation territory, Unjha Town, Gujarat which is much of the time overwhelmed by rain in bring down geographical region. where as the mean yearly precipitation in Gujrat state is 1107 mm where as the Study territory has mean yearly ainfall of 519 mm . The pinnacle hourly power of one hour got from 34 years precipitation information (gave by SWDC, Gandhinagar) is 33.02527 $\mathrm{mm} /$ hour. Mahesana civil enterprise and Engineers utilizes force of $50 \mathrm{~mm} /$ hour with a repeat interim of 2 years. Indeed, even India metrological office has proposed $18.4 \mathrm{~mm} /$ hour force for the investigation area. The present investigation prescribes precipitation force of 33.02527 $\mathrm{mm} /$ hour with a repeat interim of two years utilizing information of 34 years. The investigation is helpful for processing outline spillover or release. The ascertained tempest can be utilized as a part of tempest arrange planning. In the present examination bring down flooding zones and its medicinal allots has been find. The suggested precipitation force is of $18.4 \mathrm{~mm} /$ hour which can be considered for the outline and it will be adequate to empty tempest out of city amid substantial precipitation.
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## INTRODUCTION

A storm drain, storm sewer (US), surface water deplete/sewer (UK), storm water deplete (Australia and New Zealand), or just a deplete or deplete framework is intended to deplete overabundance rain and ground water from impenetrable surfaces, for example, cleared lanes, auto parks, parking garages, trails, walkways, and rooftops. Storm channels shift in plan from little private dry wells to huge civil frameworks. They are nourished by road canals on most motorways, turnpikes and other occupied streets, and in addition towns in territories which encounter overwhelming precipitation, flooding and seaside towns which encounter general tempests. Many tempest seepage frameworks are intended to deplete the tempest water, untreated, into waterways or streams.

Objective: To decide the mean yearly precipitation, to do precipitation examination and to discover configuration release for waste system utilizing Rational Method.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Area: Unjha is a town of Mahesana district which is situated at $23.8077794^{\circ}$ North latitude, $72.3952941^{\circ}$ East longitude and 111 meters elevation above the sea level and having
about 54,620 inhabitants. In unjha monsoon season is from June to September. Average annual rainfall in unjha varies from 81 mm to 315 mm .


Fig.1. shows the location of unjha

## Data Collection:

For the present examination yearly precipitation information and yearly 24 -hour greatest precipitation information from year 1975 to 2014 has been gathered from State Water Data Center, Gandhinagar. The information examination has been for the 34 year information.

## Estimation of 1-hour Rainfall from 24-hour Maximum Rainfall Data:

India Meteorological Department (IMD) empirical reduction formula is used to find short duration rainfall values from annual maximum values. The empirical formula used is

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}}=\mathbf{P}_{24}\left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^{1 / 3}
$$

where,
$P_{t}$ is the required rainfall depth in mm at t hour duration.
$\mathrm{P}_{24}$ is the yearly 24 hour maximum rainfall in mm .
$t$ is the duration for which the rainfall depth is required in hour.

Table 1: Maximum (Peak Rainfall)

1-Hour Max. Rainfall

| YEAR | ANNUAL RAIFALL (mm) | YEARLY 24-Hr MAX. RAINFALL | 1-Hr MAX. RAINFALL $P_{t}=P_{24}\left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 619 | 175 | 60.669 |
| 1982 | 213 | 51 | 17.68068 |
| 1983 | 341 | 112 | 38.82816 |
| 1984 | 540 | 155 | 53.7354 |
| 1985 | 190 | 52 | 18.02736 |
| 1986 | 113 | 24 | 8.32032 |
| 1987 | 36 | 25 | 8.667 |
| 1988 | 562 | 162 | 56.16216 |
| 1989 | 374 | 82 | 28.42776 |
| 1990 | 494 | 128 | 44.37504 |
| 1991 | 320 | 69 | 23.92092 |
| 1992 | 386 | 113 | 39.17484 |
| 1993 | 394 | 113 | 39.17484 |
| 1994 | 754 | 213 | 73.84284 |
| 1995 | 329 | 69 | 23.92092 |
| 1996 | 295 | 63 | 21.84084 |
| 1997 | 713 | 312 | 108.16416 |
| 1998 | 715 | 199 | 68.98932 |
| 1999 | 318 | 136 | 47.14848 |
| 2000 | 289 | 38 | 13.17384 |
| 2001 | 467 | 78 | 27.04104 |
| 2002 | 364 | 49 | 16.98732 |
| 2003 | 556 | 59 | 20.45412 |
| 2004 | 541 | 105 | 36.4014 |
| 2005 | 983 | 148 | 51.30864 |
| 2006 | 1309 | 192 | 66.56256 |
| 2007 | 716 | 157 | 54.42876 |
| 2008 | 349 | 54 | 18.72072 |
| 2009 | 345 | 56 | 19.41408 |
| 2010 | 619 | 83 | 28.77444 |
| 2011 | 610 | 75 | 26.001 |
| 2012 | 318 | 53 | 18.37404 |
| 2013 | 1206 | 75 | 26.001 |
| 2014 | 1263 | 123 | 42.64164 |
| Sum | 17641.00 | 3598 | 1247.35464 |
| Mean Pm |  | 105.8235294 | 36.68690118 |
| Standard Deviation (S) |  | 63.27404111 | 21.93584457 |

## Probability Distribution or Frequency Analysis:

There are many regularly utilized hypothetical circulation capacities, for example, Generalized Extreme Value Distribution (GEV), Gumbel, Pearson sort 3 disseminations and so on. In the present examination, Gumbel recurrence investigation is utilized as a part of the investigation of precipitation information. It is extremely helpful and straightforward technique as it utilizes greatest esteems or pinnacle precipitation. The goal of recurrence investigation is to relate the greatness of occasions to their recurrence of event through likelihood dispersion. With the assistance of this examination a chart is plotted between 1hour most extreme precipitation ( mm ) and the Gumbel's recurrence factor ( K ). 1-hour most extreme precipitation profundity for various return time of $2,5,10,20,50$ and 100 year is computed by give condition as beneath,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Pt}=\mathrm{Pm}+\mathrm{Ks} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where,
$\mathbf{P t}$ is the frequency precipitation (in mm ) for each duration with a specified return period T $\mathbf{P m}$ is the average of the maximum precipitation corresponding to specific duration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $P_{i}$ is the individual extreme value of rainfall and $n$ is the No. of years.
$\mathbf{K}$ is the Gumbel Frequency Factor which is a function of return period.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\left\{-\ln \left(-\ln \frac{T}{T-1}\right)-\mathrm{Yn}\right\} / \mathrm{Sy} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{S}$ is the standard deviation of 1-hour maximum precipitation

$$
s=\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(P i-\operatorname{Pavg})^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Table 2: 1-hour Maximum Rainfall Depth at different return period

| 1-Hour Max. Rainfall Depth At Different Return Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 100 |
| $1 / \mathrm{T}$ | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| $1-(1 / \mathrm{T})$ | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| K $[-\ln \{-\ln (1-1 / \mathrm{T})\}-0.535593] / 1.142478432$ | -0.151495276 | 0.840582154 | 1.497423735 | 2.127481951 | 2.943027682 | 3.554164444 |
| Return period | 2 Years | 5 Years | 10 Years | 20 Years | 50 Years | 100 Years |
| Ptis the expected 1-hour T -year rainfall depth $($ Pt=Pm+K*s) | 33.36372435 | 55.12578065 | 69.53415555 | 83.35501457 | 101.244699 | 114.6505 |

A sample calculation of frequency analysis is shown in table 2 . Similar calculations were performed for data upto 34 years. 1-hour maximum Rainfall is arranged in descending order and was assigned rank according to their magnitude. Return Period was calculated using $\mathrm{T}=\frac{N+1}{m}$. After finding the return period chances and failure of occurrence were computed for the analysis. k is a function of return period. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{k} *$ s gives 1 hour maximum rainfall depth for different return periods.

Correlation of 1-hour maximum rainfall intensity ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) and K value is plotted in the figure given below:


Fig.2. Duration of 1-hour Maximum Rainfall

Table 3: Minute Rainfall Depth (mm)

| Minute Rainfall Depths |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 30 | 120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minute | 5 | 10 | 0.57 | 0.79 | 1.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Factor* | 0.29 | 0.45 | mm | mm | mm |  |  |  |  |
| Return Period Year | mm | 15.01367596 | 19.01732288 | 26.35734224 | 41.70465544 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 9.675480062 | 24.80660129 | 31.42169497 | 43.54936671 | 68.90722581 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 15.98647639 | 31.29036998 | 39.63446864 | 54.93198285 | 86.91769438 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 20.1649051 | 37.50975656 | 47.5123583 | 65.85046151 | 104.1937682 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 24.17295423 | 45.56011455 | 57.70947843 | 79.98331221 | 126.5558738 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 29.36096271 | 51.592725 | 65.350785 | 90.573895 | 143.313125 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 33.248645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Factors are taken from Journal Of Indian Water Work Association, Vol.-Octo-Dec-2007 (page 289)

Table 3 shows the information of minute rainfall $(5,10,15,30,120)$ for the different return periods i.e 2,5,10,20,50,100.


Fig. 3: Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Curves
Intensity duration curve is in the form of logarithmic type, it is plotted on logarithmic scale in the fig. 3 .

The relationship between " t " and " i " may be expressed by a generalized mathematical formula. The commonly used one is shown as under,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=a / t^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{i}=$ Intensity of rainfall in $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$
$\mathrm{t}=$ Duration of storm in minutes
$\mathrm{a}=$ Constant
$\mathrm{n}=$ Constant

To find the values of "a" and " $n$ " take a log at both side of above equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{e} i=\log _{e} a-\mathrm{n} \log _{e} t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then take a logarithmic values of table 4 as bellow,

Table 4 : Logarithmic Values of Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Depths-mm/hr

| Return Period | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 . 6 9 8 9 7 0 0 0 4}$ | 2.064853768 | 2.282933997 | 2.383777428 | 2.462510976 | 2.546951538 | 2.600955 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.954638289 | 2.172718517 | 2.273561949 | 2.352295496 | 2.436736058 | 2.49074 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 6 0 9 1 2 5 9}$ | 1.881209371 | 2.0992896 | 2.200133031 | 2.278866579 | 2.363307141 | 2.417311 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 7 7 1 2 1 2 5 5}$ | 1.721931611 | 1.94001184 | 2.040855271 | 2.119588819 | 2.204029381 | 2.258033 |
| $\mathbf{2 . 0 7 9 1 8 1 2 4 6}$ | 1.319154542 | 1.53723477 | 1.638078202 | 1.716811749 | 1.801252311 | 1.855256 |

Then the graph between the logarithmic values of intensity and duration for different years 2 , $5,10,20,50$ and 100 was plotted. Then the equation of every slopes and from the equation of 2 years data find the values of "a" and" because Frequency of flooding in Commercial and high priced areas once in 2 years was find out.


Figure 4 : Rainfall Intensity vs Duration Curve
From above graph the equation of slop is as bellow,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{y}=-0.5479 \mathrm{x}+2.4931 \\
& \text { Here, } \mathrm{n}=0.5479 \\
& \quad \log _{e} a=2.4931 \text { so, } \\
& \quad a=311.24
\end{aligned}
$$

According to value of a and n intensity (i) will be determined as bellow,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{t}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{hr})  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{I}=311.24 / 60^{\wedge} 0.5479 \\
& \mathrm{I}=33.0252 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{hr}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 5 shows the values of Runoff Co-efficient which is determined according to different land.

Table 5 : Runoff Co-efficient
RUNOFF COEFICINT

| Type of land | Area( square kilometer) | Standard Runoff Coeficint | Taken runoff coefficient | C*A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultivated Land | 1.87820225 | $0.08-0.41$ | 0.35 | 0.657370788 |
| Low Dense Vegetated Land | 1.50046325 | $0.1-0.25$ | 0.20 | 0.30009265 |
| Fallaow Land | 0.34184275 | $0.1-0.3$ | 0.20 | 0.06836855 |
| Buitup Area | 1.01337875 | $0.3-0.9$ | 0.80 | 0.810703 |
| Mixed Vegetated Land | 1.9008445 | $0.05-0.35$ | 0.25 | 0.475211125 |
| Open Land | 0.8891225 | $0.12-0.62$ | 0.40 | 0.355649 |
| Total | 7.523854 |  | weighted runoff coefficient | 0.354525103 |

The determination of storm water runoff at each segment with passage of duration of rainfall is calculated using ration formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=0.278 \mathrm{cia} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{Q}=$ runoff in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{c}=$ Impervious Factor
$\mathrm{i}=$ Intensity of rainfall in mm/hr
A = Actual area of Unjha Town in square kilometer
Table 6 : Runoff

| $\mathbf{i}=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{t}^{\wedge} \mathbf{n}(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr})$ | 33.0252 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Area $($ square kilometer) | 7.698026 |
| $\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{0 . 2 7 8 c i A}(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{s})$ | 25.05628138 |

## RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

Investigation of precipitation and overflow measurement is done to assess the system productivity of seepage arrange utilizing balanced technique. Assurance of precipitation Intensity is required to outline proficient tempest seepage arrange. Without brief term precipitation and with day by day information, Empirical equation can be effortlessly used to register the brief span precipitation from every day precipitation information to help in the plan of tempest water waste system. Assessed precipitation force has been ascertained as $33.02527 \mathrm{~mm} /$ hour with a repeat interim of 2 years from the nitty gritty investigation of precipitation information of 34 years. Precipitation Intensity is evaluated after recurrence investigation of the precipitation information. The figured overflow is $25.056 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$, which can be utilized as an outflow release for organize planning.
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